

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS  
FAYETTEVILLE DIVISION

STEVEN J. HAYS

PLAINTIFF

v.

Civil No. 06-5136

THE COUNTY OF HUMBOLDT,  
CALIFORNIA, Superior Court,  
Eureka Division; et al.

DEFENDANTS

O R D E R

Currently before the Court are three pro se motions filed by the plaintiff: **Motion for an Explanation (Doc. 9)**, **Motion to Vacate Conviction (Doc. 10)**, and **Motion for an Extension (Doc. 12)**. In these motions, plaintiff essentially challenges the Court's ruling adopting the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation and dismissing his case and also challenges the Court's order denying a motion to reopen that he previously filed. The Court finds that the current motions are without merit and they are hereby **DENIED** for the reasons previously stated by the Court.

**IT IS SO ORDERED** this 19<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2008.

/s/Jimm Larry Hendren  
HON. JIMM LARRY HENDREN  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE